



**REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
TOWN HALL
March 4, 2020 — 5:30 p.m.**

*****PLEASE NOTE EARLY START TIME*****

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing-impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to the City Recorder at 541-535-1566, ext. 1012.

TURA reserves the right to add or delete items as needed, change the order of the agenda, and discuss any other business deemed necessary at the time of the study session and/or meeting. Study Sessions, Regular and Special TURA meetings are being digitally recorded and will be available on the City website.

Anyone wishing to speak on an agenda item should complete a Public Comment Form and give it to the City Recorder. Public Comment Forms are located at the entrance to the meeting place. Anyone commenting on a subject *not* on the agenda will be called upon during the “Speakers Heard on Non-Agenda Items” section of the agenda. Comments pertaining to *specific* agenda items will be taken at the time the matter is discussed by the Board.

- 1. Call to Order/Roll Call**
- 2. Executive Director’s Report**
- 3. Speakers Heard on Non-Agenda Items**
Limited to 5 minutes or less per Board discretion
- 4. Interviews for the TURA Executive Director / Project Manager Position**
- 5. Adjournment**



Talent Urban Renewal Board Agenda Report

Meeting Date:	March 4, 2020	Primary Staff Contact:	Sandra Spelliscy
Department:	TURA	E-Mail:	spelliscy@cityoftalent.org
Staff Recommendation:	Informational	Estimated Time:	5 minutes

ISSUE BEFORE THE BOARD

Executive Director's Report

BACKGROUND

- Pursuant to ORS 457.460 the FY 2018-2019 Annual Report has been prepared and is available for review by interested persons at City Hall.
- The Agency received two responses to the RFQ for ED/Project Management services. The submissions were reviewed and scored by Agency staff. Both submissions scored below 50 points out of 100, and staff is not recommending that either be advanced to the interview stage. Both submittals were from firms that were out-of-the area, and one was from out-of-state. Neither submittal demonstrated significant experience with urban renewal projects in Oregon, and neither demonstrated agency management experience. The costs associated with the submissions far exceeded both the anticipated costs for the project and agency resources. NOTE: RVCOG had initially indicated that it planned to respond to the RFQ, therefore it was not involved in the scoring process. Ultimately, RVCOG decided against submitting a response.

RECOMMENDATION

None

RELATED POLICIES

None

POTENTIAL MOTIONS

None

ATTACHMENTS

None